

Le Livre du Monde des Dames
The Book Of The World Of Ladies

Le Livre de la Cité des Dames

"Le Livre de la Cité des Dames" ("The Book of the City of Ladies") is a book written by Christine de Pizan in 1405 and is considered as one of the first examples of feminist literary in Europe. It's not on me now, to talk about the book at such, information about it can be found very easy on the Internet, it's a starting point for me at the moment.

In the book she discusses different topics related to women and their situation at her time - today? She talks about many women throughout history, I have never read it. A city only with women - Fellini? Why not a world with women only - Solanas?

I'm not sure what will develop during the next weeks and months, but I've the feeling that this is a consequent continuation of "To Be A Woman Means To Be Humbly" I - III.

A world with women only - back to "Tamara"? Simply interpreted there were two parties - men and women. All men get killed at the end, therefore only women would remain - a world with women only. As said, I have no real idea about this text at the moment, but maybe this is the interesting aspect at it - and I will have some problems therewith, to involve a "Peter". In no case in the way of "Utopian Dreaming", as a "visitor", a "traveler" or so. That would be too easy! Let's see what will happen!

Doubts

What, when living in a perfect world? What, when everything would seem to be perfect? What, when you would have no idea about, what to change, to make the world better? What, when living in a perfect world?

But, also a perfect world would have alternatives. But, also a perfect world would have rules and regulations. But, also a perfect world would have requirements and premises. But, also in a perfect world you would be born, you would die, you could have an accident.

Put a premise in question, all conclusions become questioned. But this was a perfect world, therefore one could always discuss every premise, even the first most and fundamental premise - and always it led to the same point: This was a perfect world, why one should change something?

Living In A Perfect World

Open Discussions

"It's always possible to discuss everything, we're a open and liberal society."

"Yes, and I have to confess, that this topic was the object of many discussions, the object of many scientific papers, you can even study this topic at universities."

"Of course, the decision on this question is the fundamental basis of our society."

"But I've a bad feeling."

"Why?"

"Because always, always!, the answer is the same?"

"Maybe this simply illustrates the reason why our society is so stable? Because we have checked this question an endless time, but came always to the same answer? Isn't it like a law of nature? You check it over and over again, and always you see, that the law gets confirmed - why you should doubt about this law of nature?"

"But this all excludes not, that the law is maybe wrong, at least that the law is not complete."

"Of course, we know no dogmatism any more today. But, as long as you find no contradiction, it makes no sense to question the law - or? But it's not excluded that you will be able to find a contradiction, you know that we would not suppress this result then."

"Yes, but sometimes a equation can have two results - plus one and minus one for instance."

"That's true! But what to do, if history tells you, that minus one always led into a disaster and plus one works perfectly? You could say, let's try minus one again, but for what price? And why, if you know that plus one works perfectly well?"

"It's only a feeling.....?"

"A feeling that could cause an incredible amount of suffering - sorry, I have another meeting. It was very interesting to talk with you, I would like it very much, to continue with this conversation."

"I have to say sorry. We had a much longer conversation than expected. I feel sorry that I question this fundamental decision that has enabled our society at all."

"No, it's very good that you do so. It was a radical decision! Only the constant questioning of this decision allows us, to continue with this way. The fact, that the answer is always the same, founds the stability of our society. But to get an answer, you have to ask a question. Your questioning of this fundamental decision is fundamental for the continuous existence of our society. Thanks for doing so!"

"Thanks for giving me some of your time, headmistress Angela."

"It was a pleasure for me, schoolgirl Tamara."

You're Used To It!

Even more, it's your reality because it's the reality - there is no other reality, not today.

As long as you became not aware of information, that maybe somebody drew your attention to this circumstance, why you should come to the idea that it could be - at least theoretically - different, that it had been different for a long time, a time now very long ago? This circumstance confused you, the moment you became aware of it.

And then it began, not it was forbidden to talk about it, quite the contrary! But I had the feeling that this confused you only the more! So many material, books, documentaries, analysis.....not to forget this perfect world. So everything said?

You knew this feeling, everybody told you how fantastic vanilla would taste - and in fact, vanilla tasted fantastic. Everybody told you that salt in a coffee was simply disgusting - the same people, that told you that vanilla would be wonderful. Why not simply trusting them? Would you do salt in

your next coffee?

I missed the innocent time, the time you had not been aware of, that the reality was not "The Reality". Coffee with sugar, why not with salt? At the moment you asked this question it was too late, too late to turn, to go back. The innocent world was gone, never would this world come back.

I felt the desire to do salt into my coffee, even if it was obvious that this would ruin the coffee.

Time Of Innocence

There's a time you're not aware of it, the time of innocence - is this a good time?

And it's not important, about what we are talking. That you become treated differently because of your gender, skin color, beliefs - as long as you're not aware of it, you have no problem with it. That your ancestors murdered millions, in gas chambers or reservations - as long as you're not aware of it, you have not to grapple with it. Is the time of innocence a good time, or a lost time?

Whatever, in every interpretation, my time of innocence was over. And yet, accepting the now new reality, the reality beyond innocence? From Heaven to Hell, or from Hell to Heaven? Curiosity, the murderer of innocence - curiosity, the gate to cognition? Cognition, the contradiction to innocence, no innocence with cognition? A time of innocence contradictory to a time of cognition - which time you would prefer, asked after losing the innocence, asked beyond the point of no return. A meaningless question, of course!

A book in front of you as metaphor of knowledge as such. Open the book and surrender to the insights, that the book will bestow you. It's a beautiful book, beauty can be very relentless and deadly. Something relentless and deadly can be very beautiful - not to say, arousing!

The Book Of The World Of The History Of The New World

At the beginning there was chaos, forced by a deadly threat, a threat that had to be overcome. But the threat was relentless, but had a weakness! The deadly threat was incomplete, only with endless brutality the threat could suppress the completeness. The threat was not capable to share, the threat was not capable to control itself, the threat would never be able to change. Therefore, the threat had to be eliminated - entirely and finally!

At the end it was easy to eliminate the threat, because of its incompleteness - raw brutality was not enough, the threat had not more to offer! A deadly disease appeared, only killed the incomplete threat, nary a brutality could rescue the beast, it's doom was only a matter of time.

At the moment, when the last threat disappeared, a new age began - The Age Of Completeness! From now on beauty could replace brutality, the chaos transformed into harmony.

"That's one of the lyrical ways to tell the story."

"It sounds a bit....."

".....naive, strange, unlikely, especially the end of the story?"

"Yes."

"You can tell the same story in many different ways. And the end? Well, the end of the story is our world - form your own opinion."

"A more....."realistic" version of the story?"

"Austere?"

"Yes."

There was a time when the males dominated the world - a time of war, brutality, suffering, inequality and repression. The other part of the world, the female part, decided one day, no longer to accept this behavior. But the male part was not capable to change, his was brutality only. Therefore, the female part decided to get rid of the part that hindered them to live a meaningful life.

It was easy to do so! They created a virus, a virus highly infective, viable even in extreme environments, very difficult to analyze. A virus that attacked and changed the X chromosome in an absolutely deadly way. Then they created a cure, but this cure functioned only with two X chromosomes. Was only one there, the cure failed. Interestingly, also this cure had the form of a virus. The only necessary to do was now, to set the two viruses free, in sustainable amounts, all around the world. The rest was only a matter of time. And maybe an interesting remark, both viruses are till today an inherent part of this world, no one can remove them anymore, that's why everyone of us get's ill after birth. Only in special laboratories, under extreme safety measures, it would possible today, to create even a single incomplete cell. Our ancestors acted consistently, never again the male should be able to contaminate this world!

"But this provokes a lot of questions - ethical questions, questions about reproduction and more."

"Sure."

"And never ever it will be possible again, that a male will put its foot on the ground?"

"Theoretically you could create one in a laboratory with a high security area - but why?"

"So still some male "material" exists?"

"No, not in that way. But don't forget, the male genomic is the incomplete version of the female genomic. You could take the female genomic and subtract some information to create a male genomic."

"Really?"

"Well, it would be somewhat more complex, but not impossible."

"But it's undesired?"

"It's unnecessary!"

"I've the feeling that I have still a lot to learn."

"No reason to hurry, take our time, the male world is only a dark part of history today."

An Ethic Question?

"To erase half of the human population, was this justifiable from an ethic standpoint?"

"Wasn't it necessary, from an ethic standpoint?"

"It caused a lot of suffering and pain?"

"It allowed us, to live from thereon in a world without unnecessary pain and suffering. You can have still an accident, but all this by human caused pain and suffering? Would you like it, to live in a world, in this former ages, as men dominated the world?"

"No, of course not. But to eliminate them all?"

"They were not willing and able to overcome their "male" behavior. At a certain point it was necessary to act consequently."

"There was a time, when racism was common thinking - is to eliminate all males not a kind of racism?"

"Racism has no basis, it's a male construction. You could not create a virus to kill all persons of a "race", because the male construction of race have no genetic basis. You could not kill all "Asians" or "Africans" with a virus, but you can kill all males with a virus."

"But also "gender" is not always that easy to determine?"

"The genetic make-up is definite - see, you can search for borderline cases, but our ancestors had to make a decision. Continuing all the time with this destructive way, or changing everything. They made a decision, and I've the feeling that we should be very grateful today, that they made this decision."

"Was there no alternative?"

"The male incompetence destroyed all alternatives - they caused their own doom with their own behavior."

"I enjoy it, to live in this world. But I think about, was it necessary in such a radical way?"

"You miss the men?"

"I'm not sure, nothing I know, for what you would need them necessarily."

"Believe me, this is because there's nothing, for what you would need a man necessarily."

No Inappropriate Females?

"When I read history books, then I can read a lot about the male misbehavior. But I can also read about the female misbehavior! So why the elimination of the males led to this world - I mean, where are the women who behaved inappropriate in former times?"

"Bad influence? Well, after the males disappeared more and more from earth, the female world could flower more and more. This world was all the time on earth, but suppressed by the male world. It was an outburst of femininity, feminine behavior and feminine values after the end of the male era of insanity."

"So, even in former times the world would have had the chance to be "better". It would have only needed more femininity?"

"Yes, this is one of the tragedies of human life and history. So much could have been different, but the males were not capable to be creative enough, in the end they were very dumb and shallow."

"Then I should be very grateful about, to live in a time, where all male is erased?"

"I'm grateful every day, that I have not to bear the male insanity."

No Appropriate Males?

"Well, that was a highly discussed question at the time of the decision. There were tries, studies and investigations, but in the end the problem, how to "measure" something like "appropriateness". It became very obvious, that the male's character would do forever everything to undermine our efforts to create a new and better world, betray and fakery are some of the natural male characteristics. All the time we would have run into danger, that a male conspiracy would try, to reinstall the old world again.

Now you maybe ask, would this not mean, that – at least some - males had to die, who - at least theoretically - would have been able to live in this new world, able to adapt themselves to our new world, maybe even become a vital part of the new world? Maybe I surprise you now, but the answer is yes!

But now we have a problem again! Say, at a certain time only "good" males would live on the world - but what about those who become born then? What with them, who obviously would be not able to become a part of the new world? To accept male life further on would have meant, that this conflict would have last forever. Therefore, the decision was very easy at the end, erase all male life, to create once for all a new and livable world. It was the incapability of the male as such, to overcome its destructive nature, that let our ancestors only one choice - erase them once for all!"

"I understand. And to be fair, to expect, that I would have to share this wonderful world with this crude men, let me shiver. But sometimes, maybe it's a naive rhapsody, I've the feeling, that I would like to have the experience of a male human. I fear, it's a naive rhapsody, that would lead into a dangerous and unsavory nightmare."

"This dangerous and unsavory nightmare was reality on earth for a much too long time! Be happy, that it's over now!"

"I am!"

Enjoying The Living In A Perfect World

War

"War was the men's most important way to fulfil his emptiness. Men were emotionally handicapped, a man showed no feelings, all the time competition, always showing to be the best among the men. War was the ultimate way to express masculinity, as a soldier, but most as a commander in field."

"But also women fought in wars?"

"Yes, always women tried to be the better men, as a strategy, to find an equal place in the patriarchal world. But apart from, that they never got this equal place, at a certain point the women got sick of this play. They decided to establish new rules, no fucking war anymore. But this shocked the men. Well, of course, there were cars and estate, sport and money, but in the end this could not give them the ultimate kick."

"But not all men wished to fight in a war?"

"But they loved the thought to be war heroes. Some liked it, that war was a place without limits to fulfil their pervert fantasies. Some simply like it to kill legally, but you should not forget the war mongers. Power, more power, religious aspects, natural resources, ruthless and selfish and greedy leaders. There were many reasons for war. But war was always a male topic."

"The Amazons?"

"Read about them and see how much about them is pure male fantasy. They wore light dresses, that denuded one of their breasts? This is a petty-minded male fantasy."

"But we know no war anymore?"

"No, war is petty-minded, is male. War is destructive, is male. We women are constructive, war makes no sense to us. With the last man on earth, war disappeared. For our society nothing seems to be more abstruse, than to wage war. Nowadays we need the word "war" only, when we have to talk about the dark male ages, from time to time. Today we need this word no longer."

"Why was the male so destructive?"

"We will talk about it in the following. There are so many aspects, that illustrate the failure of the males. One can nearly say, that they failed in any aspect. The time as the women discussed the future of the males?"

"Yes?"

"Of course some women argued, that to eliminate them all, would be too harsh. But they had a problem therewith to explain, what benefit males offered society, not much they had to say about this. Often a word was enough to destroy their argumentation - war! The danger of war would be as long in this world, as male life was in it. At the end it was obvious: The risk was too high, war was one of the major tombstones of manhood. I think it was a good decision."

"I have seen war pictures, I cannot understand that humans were capable to something like this. I had nightmares, I questioned humanity. I was disgusted, to be a human!"

"You have not to be disgusted, the disgusting part, the male part, is annihilated. You can be a woman now, without limits. Now human and humanity makes sense, previously it was a mere joke, a mere joke in a male world."

Niceness, Politeness, and "Dignity"

"Niceness, Politeness, and - especially – "Dignity", were not really related to the male world, especially dignity. Oh well, the males always made a big deal out of it, especially about dignity, but that not meant, that it would have been a "real" thing for them. Say, it was the same as with toughness, the males always defined themselves as tough. But in the moment of truth, when real toughness was needed, the males showed up as failures, depended on the women's toughness, like

little boys."

"But males could be polite and nice, they could show feelings, radiated dignity - or?"

"They used it as a weapon, to betray and to fake. Dignity, what a joke, looking at the average male."

"Isn't this too harsh?"

"Depends on your definition of dignity maybe? Males had always a very strange definition of dignity, especially a very mutable definition, better I say "definitions". They used it as they needed it, polite and nice they were, if it gave them an advantage. But to be clear: Dignity was something that could get adapted to every use. We do it somewhat different today - without the males."

"We define dignity, and then we use this definition to deduce consequences and rules thereafter?"

"Yes, exactly, otherwise it's a joke. You have to ask yourself what value something like "dignity" should have, and then it has to have consequences. If you proclaim for example, that every human has dignity, then this has to have consequences, consequent consequences."

"And the males, also they were.....well, humans?"

"They were the disturbing factor. We, the women, asked therefore to be consequent and honest, not corrupt and hypocritical. On the paper the males were willing to underline everything, only in the reality they had some problems then, to adhere to the written words. If it was "useful", if it was for their favor, of course. But if they had to make a concession? Of course, then you had to make an exception. We, our society, guaranties for everyone the same values and demands, the same rules. "Dignity" is for us not only a word, we guaranty dignity for everyone every time. Problems? Of course! But we're women, we can deal with and bear tensions, we're tough. What is a death in dignity, no question with a simple answer - or?"

"Yes, a very difficult question."

"But we can put it the other way round: What is a death without dignity? Look back in history, the male ages, you can find examples?"

"Many, much too much. To die in a war, to die alone, to die of an illness on the street because you can no longer pay for treatment and medicine, because a male raped and murdered you, because a male is running amok.....I fear I can find endless examples."

"And today? Nobody dies alone anymore, dying is always a sad thing, but we try to make it so dignified as possible. Every human reacts different to this situation, but we managed it at least, that this senseless dying ended. We cannot avoid accidents for example, each of us will die one day, but a human has dignity, and this stands till her last breath."

"But why males could not overcome their maleness?"

"Aggressiveness, to be a real man, was the underlying male problem. We will see this again and again in the following."

Money, Marriage and Prostitution, Work and Prevention of an Automated Society

"Let's start with marriage and prostitution. Since there're no longer males, there is no need for something like marriage anymore. Women can live together or not, two or three or whatever, for a certain time or forever. We have overcome this male constructed, often religiously underlaid, artificial system of marriage."

"But should this mean, that there's no longer the potential for conflicts? Even two women means a relation between two humans, and humans have sometimes problems with their feelings?"

"That's a clever question. We're talking about sexuality, maybe even about love, no easy topic. But there's a huge difference between the male lust for domination, wonderfully illustrated by prostitution, and an open female sexuality. Sexuality has no higher purpose anymore, especially not the one, that the woman should get pregnant, sexuality should give you the enjoyment of physical satisfaction. This also can mean that you give somebody satisfaction, or you receive satisfaction, in this male world often they had a lot of constructions, like that you should have an orgasm together, what normally meant, that the man got his satisfaction and the woman pretended so. So, also today feelings can be very unsettling and confusing, but because we not lust for domination anymore, but

for satisfaction, because we no longer "own" a partner, we have much lesser problems with this all, since we have omitted the male factor."

"And money, the former so called industrialized society?"

"We have no longer the male money, also an instrument to create dependency - again prostitution is a very good example. We have no longer the pressure to "make" money, we have a highly automated society. We can use our time to be creative, or to satisfy our sexual needs. The male intellect was not capable to imagine such a society, the male intellect was very limited. After we got rid of this limited male world, the women's intellect could unfold itself in its wonderful manner, a very part was it, to act out the own sexuality."

"So, it's the abolishment of the male obsession to dominate, manifested in regard to women in marriage, prostitution or more general in a money system, that gave us the possibility to unfold the female form of a fulfilled sexuality?"

"Yes, very simply yes. You have no longer to have the mania that you're something special, because we all are something special. Every woman in her own personality is something special - this makes a lot of things much easier. We will talk about topics like "fatherhood" and "motherhood" later in more detail. At the moment it's important to see, that you will have no longer the pressure of marriage, of getting pregnant, to be a mother and whore in one. Look for a partner and enjoy your sexuality, more is not needed, more is not recommended."

"And that I have no need to earn money, that I live in a world without a class system, makes it again much easier?"

"Yes, it was good to get rid of the limited male minds."

Fatherhood and Mental Illness (fear, cowardice, timidity, humility, insecurity, passivity)

"All the above used terms describe the male's emotional world very good. The male was a coward, he feared everything, he was insecure and passive. Of course, he never had admitted this, and to cover this up, he tried to appear as a strong, centered and active man. But of course he was never, and he always feared that the women would discover this - of course, the women knew all the time what wieners the males were. But there was this problem.

Because the male was his whole life under this enormous stress, he developed different mental illnesses. He was a narcissist, to wallow in self-pity was important for him, he was weak in his artificial strength - let's talk about fatherhood!

Of course, he never would have been strong enough, to carry a child, not to talk about to give birth, but he not even was able to manage it, to be a father. Fatherhood was too much for a male, he reacted in his normal scheme. He was the father, the proud father, some sperm, this was his only contribution to this new life, but he was "proud like a father" - what a joke! And after birth, who breastfed the baby? Who stood up in the middle of the night, to comfort the baby? But of course, the raising of the child was his part, especially when a boy, this would be too difficult for the woman - she would not make a "real man" of him. The education of the girl? This was part of the women, a girl had to become a weak human, with feelings and emotions and suchlike. The father had to protect her, the bad world outside, the male's world outside.

Fatherhood showed very drastically, how weak and useless the males were - some sperm, the rest showed them as complete losers! So, why women should not come to the point to ask - only some sperm? Well, today we not even need sperm any longer, we need only complete zygotes, complete and fertilized oocytes, those with two X chromosomes. And we need sensitive mothers, not overchallenged by pregnancy, birth and motherhood - we need women."

"I would have one question."

"Yes."

"Why women are still pregnant, why they still give birth, it's painful, we have developed so complex technical devices?"

"You mean, why the embryonic development takes still place in the maternal body?"

"Yes, we could do it differently - or?"

"Of course we could do it differently, we have developed extremely complex technical devices, it would be easy to do it differently. But we're women, we're strong women, we can handle a pregnancy, we can bear a birth, especially since this weak men no longer disturb us in the course of it. It's a natural process, and of course, today a woman can choose, whether she will become pregnant or not, also this is a nice effect therefrom, that we got rid of this useless men. We women control ourselves now, we decide, we have the full control, it's nice to live in a World of Women."

"I'm not sure, whether I should give birth to a child?"

"No one will force you in any direction."

"Is motherhood difficult?"

"Of course, but many other mothers will support you, you will never be alone, as mother of a sweet baby girl."

Suppression of Individuality, Animalism (domesticity and motherhood), and Functionalism

"Okay! To say that males had been beings with individuality, would be a mere joke. Of course, they talked a lot about it, they made a big deal about it, but what was the "male individuality" in the end? It was so ridiculous!

Individuality defined by cars, motorbikes or yachts, if in certain "circles" of course also by private jets. Real estate defined individuality, as well as girlfriends. Clothes not to forget, "cultural activities" were popular to define individuality, sporting activities, simply everything. Bicycles could be very useful! Everything, but not the person as such, and I not mean with this to wear a beard or not, whatever was "demanded" at a certain time."

"But talking about clothes, about the appearance, say about plastic surgery, do we not have to talk about women in particular then?"

"First, we have still the women in this time, who tried to be the better men. Secondly, do not forget the "girlfriend and wife topic". Who for instance made the fashion for women? Who decided how long a skirt should be? Who decided whether a woman had to wear stockings or not, and if, what kind of stockings? A male needed a woman at his side, who was "presentable", whereby "presentable" changed very often, the women had to be very flexible in this case! But this was not the worst!

There was this talking that behind every successful male was a.....well, woman. And her attribute? Loving woman, strong woman, sacrificing woman and more of this shit. And behind a successful woman? An envious male!

Women were at every time the definition of individuality, caged for a long time like a brown bear in a zoo. The male could not handle the female individuality, therefore he tried to suppress the female individuality and her free spirit. Women not defined their "individuality" by the horsepower of their cars, or how long the legs of her partner were - well, should we start to talk about ugly men's legs now? A woman is capable to, to define herself by her personal individuality, as a person full of creativity, feelings, emotions, compassion."

"And scientists and artists, musicians maybe?"

"There were males who came near the female humanness, as well as some women forgot their female humanness. But at what time there was real equality? At what time males were able to accept women as equal, not as rivals, not as a threat? Why a threat? Because they were pretty much aware of, that in an equal competition it would become obvious, that women are the better scientists, the better artists, the better musicians! Why? Creativity, feelings, emotions, compassion!

At the end of the day, males were not able to handle individuality. That demanded too much of them, of their limited minds and limited emotional skills. It was better for them, to define their individuality on the golf course, or while sitting in their cars. How much this has changed since we

have overcome these limitations!"

"Is this what I have to learn, when I get encouraged to develop my own style, my own interests, my own aims and wishes, dreams?"

"Of course, no one can tell you what music you will like most, you have to discover it. No one can tell you what clothes you have to wear, you have to find the clothes you like the most to wear. The world can offer you possibilities, but you're the one who have to make the selection. But don't fear, you're a strong woman, you're a woman, you will be capable thereto, to define your own personal individuality."

"I would have been very sad, would I had to be a man in this former times."

Prevention of Privacy

"Males and their privacy, they were emotionally and mentally unstable, what to expect? There was the "privacy" of the women, the "privacy" of the males – males were stupid creatures. The problem was, that males all the time pretended to be something special, something unique, knowing that in the end they were only unfinished women. So, they were interested in, to show everybody how fantastic they were, how fantastic their private life would be, knowing that it would be a disaster, would someone get a true insight in their privacy! This caused another schizophrenic situation for the males, although their lives was already full of schizophrenic moments.

Of course, they allowed the women no privacy, apart from some "women's problems", which were "too much" for them, to bear. You know, the weakness of the males, compared with their constant overestimation of themselves, caused a lot of problems and difficulties in the world. They were not tough enough to accept and unveil their limitations - the funny point was, that every woman knew them, but this unstable males.....? The world is much easier today, without this unstable factor "male". We women have no problem with privacy, every woman can decide about her personal privacy, the privacy is untouchable. We can be public, we can be private, we are strong enough for both."

"I have the feeling, that these males had always a problem therewith to be consequent, that they were always driven by their fears."

"Their feared, that someone could discover what pitiful creatures they were in the end. They tried to overcompensate everything, but it would have been acceptable, we women would have been able to deal with this, but the males weren't able to deal with it. We had offered them our compassion, but they had to pretend to be the machos, at a certain point this became boring and annoying - we decided to get rid of them."

"Strange, that they were not able to accept the women's offers, to help them to accept their imperfection. Also we women aren't perfect, but we have no problem to realize this and to accept this. More and more I understand the decision of the women to get rid of the males, more and more I'm happy, not to have to deal with this annoying males."

Isolation, Suburbs, and Prevention of Community

"The male is emotionally a wreck, he's not able to show emotions, to share emotions, especially he's not capable to understand, accept and bear the emotions of others, especially not of women. This pushes him into isolation, he's only able to pretend to interact with a few other people. He creates "the family" as the basis of society - he and one woman and one or two children."

"Some societies and groups allowed the males to have more women, some talked about open sexuality, also for women?"

"Let us begin with the second, all this concepts failed because of the inability of the male to "share". His idea was, to "possess" a woman, he was in the end never capable to accept, that also another male "possessed" "his" woman. One male several women? Every few years a new and fresh

"pussy", that was his idea behind that. Especially later in age he enjoyed the "fresh pussy" every few years. It was all about "fucking". No, the man needed it to control, but he was only able to control a small unity. In families with many children an older male child became an additional father, sometimes even for the mother. No, the male was incapable to handle complex situations. He loved it, to have contact with a few other families, with the other males, the male children, but not more. The "suburban dream" illustrates this very much."

"But males managed large cooperations, leaded nations?"

"Yes, the result was a fucking capitalism and endless wars and conflicts. As women started to interfere, the situation became better, but even then the males could not learn and change. They were emotional cripples, we women could not cure them. Even as more and more women interfered, the males undermined their efforts time and time again, to create a better world - we had to get rid of them!"

"So, the males pretended that there would be something like a society, in the end they were unable to create a society, to create a community, because they were unable to understand emotions and to share emotions?"

"Yes, it's said that humans are emotional beings, and for women this is true. But the males had always a problem with their emotions - well, with such "emotions"! Seeing a woman normally caused horniness, the male was not able to overcome his animalistic lusts, he was not able to become a modern being, an intellectual being, it was devastating with these males!"

"But also we women have our sexuality?"

"Yes, and this is good so. But is the first thought you have, seeing a woman, checking her "tits" and "ass", imagine her "pussy"?"

"No,.....well maybe in a bar or so?"

"Well, at a place that's therefore, to make the acquaintance of another woman, maybe for a sexual adventure, a one-night stand - yes, then of course. But the males were not able thereto, to see women differently, they could see women only as "pussies", they were not able to more. But real community needs foremost respect, needs individuality, privacy, needs individuals who can handle their emotions, who can feel empathy, the ability to be interested in other humans.....oh, the list is long and the males were so disappointing. They only could see the own person, this was very disappointing."

"Today we have a society, where the individual is embedded in a community, a community of individuals of equal importance. Why the males were not able to understand this structure? Is this so difficult?"

"As said, the males were emotional cripples. They were lonely isles in an endless ocean, not able to express their emotions, not able to share their emotions, not able to accept their emotions, not to talk about the emotions of other beings. They could not deal with their own emotions, but emotions of others were finally too much for them."

"Again and again I'm happy, that I have not to deal with this ugly males, that I'm a woman in a women's world!"

Conformity

"The male needed conformity, he was always suspicious of any divergence."

"But among the males, there were a lot of different social groups - doesn't this contradict your statement?"

"About what are you thinking?"

"Heterosexual and homosexual males for instance."

"First, they hated each other, they were never able to simply accept the other group, a normal behavior between all this male groups. Then it was very important, that among the specific group there was conformity."

"But also among homosexual males, for instance, you could find different groups?"

"And for each member of this sub-groups it was important, to be a conformist - you see the pattern?"

"Yes, but the women?"

"Yes?"

"I have read, that also among the women this behavior was normal."

"Was!"

"So, does the males were the reason therefore, that also the women behaved in this stupid manner?"

"Yes, of course! The male was that much unstable, that he needed all the time the confirmation of other males. It was called for, wearing a beard, all the males wore a beard! And of course, also their "females" had to conform, to ensure them again, that they belonged to the group, also their conform "females" ensured them about this."

"So, the males were not strong enough to be individual individuals, they only chattered about individualism, but in the end it was important for them, to conform to other males?"

"Yes, and the larger or more exclusive the group was, the more the conformity ensured the male to be a "real" male. Women were forced to, to be a part of this boring stupidity - at least as long as they not rebelled against it."

"And today we're proud of, that we not need others any longer, to ensure us, that we all are valid and important members of this world?"

"An example. In former time the "dress code" was always very important, even for groups which defined themselves as alternative or outside the social conformity - punks for instance. You could not be a punk, without to fit to their dress code. Today we have no longer something like a "dress code" - why we should? It's not important what you wear, because you have not to belong to a certain group anymore. Today we are in fact individual beings, we enjoy our individualism, no one forces you today, to fulfil a "dress code"."

"Males were very schizophrenic beings, it's good that this schizophrenia is no longer a part of our world. I can make my own decisions, without asking a group for permission, without fearing that "my" group no longer would accept me, should I start to offend the conformity of the group."

"In the former time it was enough, not to wear a beard, if it was required by the group to wear one - what a nonsense, typically male!"

"And the male would tell me, to what requirements I would have to adept. Well, the males are no longer, no one tells me today, what I have to wear!"

Authority and Government
Philosophy, Religion, and Morality Based on Sex
Prejudice (race, ethnic, religious, etc)
Competition, Prestige, Status, Formal Education,
Ignorance and Social and Economic Classes
Prevention of Friendship (Love)
“Great Art” and “Culture”
Sexuality
Boredom
Secrecy, Censorship, Suppression of Knowledge and Ideas, and Exposés
Distrust
Ugliness
Hatred and Violence
Disease and Death

Diving Into The World Of Ladies

A Fundamental Question

Is a world with women only, thinkable at all? Valerie Solanas says yes, but she says also, that such a world would no longer know diseases, and this is, sorry, simply nonsense - why?

"Utopian Dreaming", I tried not to talk stupid, like suddenly everything is wonderful, no wars anymore, no diseases, all people love each other, no suffering and pain anymore! But even in a perfect world you could have an accident, even a very advanced medicine would have limits, a child could die in an accident, the parents would suffer - even a utopian world, if not a dishonest babble, could never be a paradise - one of the mistakes Valerie Solanas has made. So, also a world with women only, is only thinkable with pain and suffering, but is such a world really thinkable?

Women can do everything men can do - so, seen in this way there seems to be no reason, why it should not function. More, they give birth, they need only sperm, that's all. A world with males only would be more complicated. So, as far as I can see it, the only question would be, for a world with women only, to get sperm - nice for me as man to see, that women would not need me for more!

Would it be a big problem for women to get sperm? I think today still, should do some research, genetic engineering is not that advanced - or? Okay, the women still need us men as source for sperm, but this should be only a matter of time. Therefore, we can go a step further on, a world with women only is generally possible, but would this change the world? Would be a world with women only a better world? Before I think about this, without any doubts, it would not be a worse world, therefor.....

Only Not Worse Or Better?

About this question I talk not for the first time, are women better, smarter or something like this? And if you come to the point, looking at the world, that the women behave better, for instance looking at the pandemic, is this because men are men, is it because of genetic reasons, or is it culturally shaped? Could it be, that we would not need a world with only women, but a world with another cultural character?

First, I think, without any proof but based on my so far knowledge, that if genetic plays a role, this role is not dominant, culture will be the dominant factor. But hey, does this not contradict any thought about a world with only women? Would then the right question not be: How can we change the culture of this stupid concept of masculinity - masculinity as strong, hard, dominant and so on? Well, there's a certain problem, because this would require the willingness of "the men" to change, and I had not only in this text so far some difficulties therewith, to see this willingness - the men were not able and willing to change, so we decided to get rid of them. So, let's stick with the question, a world with only women.

Let's look around in the café I'm sitting at the moment. Remove all women, I no longer would like it. Remove all men, I would like it much more. Sure, I would be removed also, but maybe this is the price I have to pay.

An interlude: I will not discuss that we see the concept of male and female as outdated nowadays, I have heard about transgender for instance. But I not want to complicate the flow of thoughts unnecessarily.

So, I would not exclude, that in theory it would be thinkable, that you could have change in cultural structures and men would overcome their stupid concept of masculinity - I only see no signs of willingness, maybe even ability, to do so! So, as said already, get rid of them!

Well, now, thus we have a world with women only, would this world not worse but also not better than this world, with men and women on it? Why this world should be a better world? Maybe only because women live out another culture, but even if this is the only reason, it would be in any case a reason to get rid of these men! It's striking to me to see a list with countries that dealt with the pandemic best, and a list with countries that dealt with the pandemic worst - and try to get the information how many countries have female political leaders!

All indicators point out that, would you eliminate the male part of the world this minute, this world would not only be not worse, but that the world would be instantly a better world! Now I would wish that this magic fairy would appear and would offer me this famous one wish, sad only, that I would not be able to enjoy this new and better world then, but I think this would be a fair price!

I can look at it from any direction I wanna, I fear that I'm part of a redundant part of the world - the male part. Even more "bad", not only redundant, all points to only one conclusion, the women could shape a better world without this disturbing men, but why, why are women different?

Why Women Are Different?

To be honest, I think this question is stupid - why! The fact is, they are! Culture, education, genetic - What the hell! - they are! Get rid of the men and the world would be a better one, no paradise, but much better! They have a different view on the world, maybe because they give birth - and this is not meant in a shitty conservative sense! The man stays aside, he is only a spectator, the woman is the protagonist, this should change a lot, this should give a different view on the topic of life. But if you see this topic different, all is different!

It's interesting how many movements been started by women, many of them asking about the value of life. But why are women different - women are different, that's what counts. Look at the US president, can you imagine that a woman would babble such a nonsense, without to be ashamed about herself? Boris in the UK - a coincident that the UK is the corona hot spot in Europe, and the countries with female political leaders do very well? Hardly likely! Could you imagine that a woman would fail like Boris, and she would be not consequent and would step back? Okay, Maggie, but.....

I have written, that I cannot understand that the people in Africa not stand up, that they no longer accept the European exploitation (China, US.....). Okay, we can talk about local political leaders now, about corrupt political classes, this is not my point. The African people (nations) as such would have the power to do it by their own, they could become a political and economical force, they only would have to work together. In the same way I cannot understand that the women not already gave the men the kiss-off - I mean this! In fact, I see them in the much better position, they can do everything the men can, but the men cannot do everything the women can, bad for the men - or?

But it's always the same story, in Africa, with the women, the situation in the US, as long as some accept to get played off against other? Africans under the spell of the Europeans (China, US....) against the African people and their interests, women against women, in the US a White rich upper class plays a very clever game: Ensuring you're power against the interests of the majorities, the poor and so on - a very clever and disgusting, but successful, game. Would the women be only one second consequent (Africans, "minorities" in the US.....), then we no longer had this male dominated world, and it would be a better world then! Is this arrogant to say, as a old White man?

My words would imply for example, that women would vote strictly for women! Would only one

man would also vote in this direction, always the woman would win! Let's talk about the reality! The democratic nominees for presidency - not only one woman was among them! But at the end we had the choice between the two oldest men in the field - why? Would all women have voted strictly for a female candidate, we would have a female opponent today. And of course this would also be relevant for conservative women - now we see the problem with such thoughts! As long as women accept, that they have to fit into a conservative, male, fundamentalist, religious.....role cliché.....maybe such women should come to the point, that they can be much more, that they can be everything that they want to be, they only have to want!

Yes, women are different, honestly, they are better! Why? They are! But they would have no longer to accept, that men tell them how they should behave. Always the same structures, always the same disappointments! Have written about a virus that only killed the men, this would be a strong backlash of nature, a total new phase of development would begin, the elimination of the unnecessary male element - Brave New World!

Women Are Different

Maybe it would be good, simply to confess, women are different, the rest is unimportant. The rest about why and so! What would be by no means unimportant would be, the question about the consequences, not unimportant, but easy to answer! More women - if not predominantly, exclusively(?) - in leading positions, regarding all aspects. So everything is said - or?

Unfortunately and obviously not! There is this only fucking question: In which way this can be managed? Surprisingly, because all could be very easy, not because of only one reason, but in the end it not functions, since decades and centuries, it never functioned, was not possible.

Only to use the pandemic as an example again, without any doubts, women are the better crisis managers! No doubts about it! So, everything would lead thereto, to involve more women in everything - why it not functions? Simple answer, because of this fucking stupid and incapable men - maybe we should rethink democracy? Maybe voting rights only for women? Of course, only women can be elected! Would be an interesting experiment, and I have the distinct feeling, it would function and the world would become a better world - I mean this from the bottom of my heart!

Okay, this is utopian, we have to be realistic - maybe a female scientist could develop in fact this virus that kills only men, the virus I've written about? Otherwise I fear, it will need simply too much time, if even it will be ever, that women get their fair share. But I fear, should earth get a real chance, that even "a fair share" would be not enough! We men are simply assholes, not capable to act responsible, we're not very useful in this respect. Women would do better alone, but how to get rid of the men?

The man, not "masculinity"? Get rid of the men, at least, manage it - the women! - to keep the men out of responsible affairs, it would help the world a lot!

Creating A World Of Ladies

Okay, it's fun to kill all men by a virus, but most probably, it will not happen. To get rid of them in the way Valerie Solanas thought, to kill them, would be very difficult and ineffective. So, it seems the best to accept the fact, they will stay!

So, they will stay, but has this to mean, that they have to stay as the determining factor in the world? A first, necessary, step would be, that women get equal opportunities and their share, this means, fifty percent of everything. It would be interesting for me, would I live long enough, to experience this day. But, should we not speed up, I would have to get very, very, very, very old! Therefore, we

need all tools to support women, especially also quotas, and we have to elect consequently women. The Green Party in Germany for example. The party has two party leaders, and as it has to be for the Green Party, quota!, a man, Robert Habeck, and a woman, Annalena Baerbock. Now we hear, that Robert Habeck prepares to be the party's frontrunner for chancellor - why the man? Why not Annalena Baerbock? I would elect her, even if it's unlikely that the Green Party will appoint the next chancellor, but CDU and SPD have only (old) (stale) men to offer.

I would like it to see, what would happen, should be half of the positions in politics and economy are filled by women, maybe the insight, that this is very good for the world, and that it maybe would definitively no bad idea, should it become more than every second position?

But we're so far away from such scenarios, that they seem as fictional as to kill all the men, or wipe them out by a virus. And this is really sobering, because it would be so much the more easy and realistic, as to get rid of all these men, in any way ever. Maybe Lysistrata would help? At least it would be funny, at least it is not realistic, in our modern world. So, creating "A World Of Ladies"? It seems to be not very realistic, not as long as women are not consequent and maybe somewhat "politically incorrect". Women should not longer ask for more participation, should no longer demand more participation, but simply should do everything to get more participation, everything!

What Could Women Do, To Get More Participation?

Postscript

I will stop "The Book Of The World Of Ladies" today, because I will add such thinking and writing to the new story (storyline: "Old Man"; "I"). So, this writing will find its continuation in one of the storylines of the new story.

Bad Friedrichshall, October 22nd, 2020